


**Sabella.AnnLee**

---



**From:** Lori Belz  
**Reply To:** lori.belz@dot.state.mn.us  
**Sent:** Thursday, March 29, 2001 1:05 PM  
**To:** jameson@niehs.nih.gov  
**Subject:** human carcinogens list

My first comment is my shock that some the chemicals are being listed "reasonably anticipated to be a human carcinogen". I, a mear newspaper reading citizen that try's to reasonably protect myself, threw out talc TEN years ago, Mayo clinic knows Trichloroethylene is a human carcinogen. And nickel-well DUH. I thought you people were scientific EXPERTS protecting the human race from ourselves and cancer . But ( the more I write the more upset I get) I am beginning to believe you too are run by big business and politics- frankly I am ashamed of you.

Okay now I purged that truth. One chemical that I have more personal knowlege of is Trichloroethylene. This chemical should should be listed as a "**known**" human carcinogen. Why: my brother filled the vats for degreasing circuit boards for there manufacturing process and developed a slow growing cancer in his bile duct. A doctor at Mayo Clinic asked if he used Trichloroethylene - he did. It was marked on his chart as so. So there is one 'human guinea pig test'.

A worth while effort would be to develop communications with onocologists. I believe they would have knowledge of patterns of cancer from 'human guinea pigs' that dealt with chemicals before any right-to-know laws were around. This would be good information to utilize seeing these 'test' cases are already there like it or not and the doctors have detailed information on there likely cause.

Thank You for the opportunity to respond. I only pray you look out for the human race and not money and not big buisness and politics.

Lori Belz  
2232 Novak Avenue n  
Stillwater, Mn 55082